



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,485	07/31/2000	Peter V. Coveney	57.0265USPCT	1357

7590 07/31/2002

Gordon G Waggett
Schlumberger Technology Corporation
110 Schlumberger Drive MD1
Sugar Land, TX 77478

EXAMINER

TUCKER, PHILIP C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1712

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

581 485

Applicant(s)

COVENEY

Examiner

P. TUCKER

Group Art Unit

1712

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/20/02
- ☒ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 10 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 5, 7 - 10 is/are rejected.
- ☒ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement

Application Papers

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hudson et al. (3796263).

Hudson teaches a drilled well which comprises clay in the formation, wherein the clay is stabilized with the reaction product of a metal plating compound and a reducing agent (see examples). The present invention is thus anticipated by Hudson.

3. Claims 1-4, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyer et al (4307980)..

Art Unit: 1712

Meyer teaches a method of stabilizing formations which comprise clay, using a composition of water glass and polyisocyanates, wherein such composition comprises polyols which react with the polyisocyanates (see example 3).

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubena Jr. et al. (5211250).

Kubena teaches a method of stabilizing a wellbore drilled through a clay containing formation, which comprises using a composition containing a polymer which reacts with a Bronstead-Lowry potassium base therein (see column 1, lines 63-68 and column 3, lines 33-35).

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hewgill et al (4787453).

Hewgill teaches a method of stabilizing a subterranean formation which comprises clay using a composition containing water and an organosilicon compound, wherein the water and organosilicon compound react to form silanols which interact with the clay.

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1712

7. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not deemed fully persuasive. With respect to Hudson, the resin and coupling agent are added separately, and thus differ from the present invention. The metal plating method of Hudson however still applies to the present invention.

Applicant has attempted to distinguish the references from the present invention by arguing that the references fail to teach that intercalation of the reactants into the galleries of the clay occurs, and that the reaction occurs essentially in the presence of the clay. Clearly since the compositions are liquid in form, then the compositions must enter into the galleries of the clay as in the present invention. The reactions must thus also occur in the presence of the clay. The language of applicants does not state that this must exclusively occur, and applicants have not given any experimental evidence that the compositions of the references do not react generally in the presence of the clays. Furthermore, in order for the clay to be stabilized by the compositions, the reactions must take place in the presence of the clays.

With respect to Meyer, contrary to applicants arguments, example 3 teaches the treatment of clay formations.

With respect to Kubena, a reaction takes place between the potassium salts and polymers in order for the stabilization to occur. The reactions are thus maintained.


8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1712

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The **after final** fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2608
July 29, 2002


PHILIP C. TUCKER
ART UNIT 1712.